UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,150	12/22/2005	Jurgen Althammer	P/37-185	1801
	7590 03/16/201 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403			KEE, FANNIE C	
NEW TORK, NT 100308403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/553,150	ALTHAMMER, JURGEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fannie Kee	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY TO BE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY TO BE STATE OF THE MAILING IDENTIFY THE MAILING IDENTIF	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 is 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.3 and 5 is/are pending in the appliance 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrases 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.3 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 May 2009 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) object e drawing(s) be held in abeyan ction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/553,150 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: replace "sections" with -section-- between the words "second pipe" and "being movable" in line 4.

Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Knapman et al Great Britain Application No. 1,223,846.

With regard to claim 1, Knapman et al disclose a coupling device comprising:

- a first pipe section (section attached to 20); and
- a second pipe section (section attached to 3), the first pipe section and the second pipe sections being movable relative to each other;

the first pipe section having a first flange (20) at an end thereof, the first flange having an end face;

the second pipe section having a second flange (3) at an end thereof, the second flange being mutually sealed with the first flange, the second flange including an end face facing the first flange, the end face of the second flange having an annular groove (12), the second flange further including at least one duct (17) leading to the annular groove and being configured to selectively provide compressed air or an underpressure to the annular groove; and

a sealing element (13) being disposed within the annular groove, the sealing element being a sealing ring made of an elastic material, the sealing ring being pressed against the end face of the first flange when the compressed air is provided to the annular groove by the at least one duct, and the sealing ring being sucked into the annular groove when the underpressure is provided to the annular groove by the at least one duct (page 2, lines 37-42).

With regard to claim 3, Knapman et al disclose the sealing ring (13) having a circular cross section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knapman et al.

With regard to claim 5, Knapman et al disclose the claimed invention but do not disclose that the coupling device is disposed in a cleaning, disinfecting, and drying plant so as to couple a receiving trolley and a washing chamber, the first pipe section and the first flange being fastened

to the receiving trolley, and the second pipe section being fastened to the washing chamber by the second flange. Knapman et al teach that the coupling device can be used to couple heavy structures (such as a diving bell and decompression lock or, as in this case, a receiving trolley and a washing chamber) together to provide a gas-tight connection and to provide compensation for angular misalignment during coupling without having to adjust for misalignment (page 1, lines 16-18, lines 21-25, and lines 30-36)..

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the coupling device be disposed in a cleaning, disinfecting, and drying plant so as to couple a receiving trolley and a washing chamber to provide a gas-tight connection and to provide compensation for angular misalignment during coupling without having to adjust for misalignment as taught by Knapman et al.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/14/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - a. Applicant argues that Knapman et al only appears to provide an overpressure but not an underpressure to the sealing ring. Applicant argues that claim 1 requires both a compressed air (overpressure) and an underpressure which is not provided by Knapman et al.

Examiner disagrees with Applicant's argument that claim 1 requires an overpressure and an underpressure. Rather, claim 1 only requires that the duct be configured (in other words "capable of") to selectively provide an overpressure or an

Application/Control Number: 10/553,150

Art Unit: 3679

underpressure to the annular groove. As Knapman et al is capable of providing an overpressure to the annular groove through the duct, Knapman et al is also capable of providing an underpressure to the annular through the duct therefore the duct is capable of providing both an overpressure and an underpressure to the annular groove.

Page 5

Further, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to [capable of]" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,150 Page 6

Art Unit: 3679

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fannie Kee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1820. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/AARON DUNWOODY/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679

/F. K./

Examiner, Art Unit 3679

March 10, 2011